# Financial Performance Framework Recommended Revisions

The Financial Performance Framework has four near term and four long term financial measures used to help measure the financial stability of our SPCSA sponsored charter schools. The current version of our Financial Performance Framework is dated 8/19/2014. Criteria for these measures may differ for schools dependent upon how long they have been in operation. Some criteria may not be defined well enough making it more subject to interpretation. Some criteria do not cover the full range of possibilities. Some criteria may contain "holes" or "overlap" causing the results to not meet any standard or meet multiple standards. Revisions are necessary to eliminate these discrepancies so there is one clear result for each financial measure.

# Measures Applicable To All Schools Regardless of Year of Operation

# **Debt Default - Current Standards**

Meets Standard:

□ School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and is not delinquent with debt service payments Does Not Meet Standard:

□ Not Applicable

Falls Far Below Standard:

□ School is in default of loan covenant(s) or is delinquent with debt service payments

Current Issue: There is not a designation for schools that do not have a loan.

**Solution:** Add an additional Meets Standard criteria based on a school being in a better financial standing if it does not have a loan.

Meets Standard:

- □ School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and is not delinquent with debt service payments or
- □ School does not have an outstanding loan

# Debt to Asset Ratio - Current Standards

Meets Standard:

Debt to asset ratio is less than 0.90

Does Not Meet Standard:

Debt to asset ratio is between 0.90 and 1.0

Falls Far Below Standard:

Debt to asset ratio is greater than 1.0

**Current Issue:** The criteria do not address the possibility of a Debt to Asset Ratio of 0.90 or 1.0 which would result in N/A.

Solution: Revise the criteria to cover the complete range of possibilities.

Does Not Meet Standard:

Debt to asset ratio is greater than or equal to 0.90 and less than or equal to 1.0

## **Debt Service Coverage Ratio - Current Standards**

Meets Standard:

Debt service coverage ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.10 Does Not Meet Standard:

Debt service coverage ratio is less than 1.10

Falls Far Below Standard:

Blank

**Current Issue:** There is not a designation for schools that do not have a loan. Also, Debt Service Coverage Ratio cannot be calculated for schools that do not have a loan because all the required variables do not exist.

**Solution:** Add an additional Meets Standard criteria based on a school being in a better financial standing if it does not have a loan.

Meets Standard:

Debt service coverage ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.10

or

□ School does not have an outstanding loan

# Measures Applicable To All Schools Dependent upon Year of Operation

# **Total Margin - Current Standards**

Meets Standard:

- Aggregated three-year total margin is positive *and* the most recent year total margin is positive, or
- Aggregated three-year total margin is greater than -1.5%, the trend is positive for the last two years, *and* the most recent year total margin is positive

**Note:** For schools in their first or second year of operation, the aggregate total margin must be positive. Does Not Meet Standard:

Aggregated three-year total margin is greater than -1.5%, but the trend is negative Falls Far Below Standard:

- Aggregated three-year total margin is less than -1.5%, or
- Current year total margin is less than -10%

Tor schools in operation for more main two rears							
2016 Total Revenue	\$	3,757,740.00	X	Meets Standard:	Aggregated three-year total margin is positive and the most		
2016 Total Expenditures	\$	3,649,744.00			recent year total margin is positive		
Net Surplus	\$	107,996.00		Meets Standard:	Aggregated three-year total margin is greater than -1.5%, the		
Current Year Total Margin		2.9%	5		trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year		
Previous Year Current Margin		3.0%	5		total margin is positive		
2014 Total Margin		20.8%	X	Does Not Meet Standard:	Aggregated three-year total margin greater than -1.5%, but the		
Three-Year Net Surplus	\$	1,408,684.00	_		trend is negative		
Three-Year Revenues	\$	14,581,000.00		Falls Far Below Standard:	Aggregated three-year total margin is less than -1.5%		
Aggregated Total Margin		9.7%	5				
				Falls Far Below Standard:	Current year total margin is less than -10%		
2016 Total Revenue	\$	2,228,332.00		Meets Standard:	Aggregated three-year total margin is positive and the most		
2016 Total Expenditures	\$	2,185,407.00			recent year total margin is positive		
Net Surplus	\$	42,925.00		Meets Standard:	Aggregated three-year total margin is greater than -1.5%, the		

total margin is positive

trend is negative

Falls Far Below Standard: Current year total margin is less than -10%

Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated three-year total margin greater than -1.5%, but the

trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year

#### For Schools in Operation for More Than Two Years

# For Schools in the First or Second Year of Operation

Three-Year Revenues \$ 6,205,497.00 Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated three-year total margin is less than -1.5%

For schools in the first of second fear of operation						
2016 Total Revenue	\$	1,746,356.00	X Meets Standard: Aggregate total margin must be positive			
2016 Total Expenditures	\$	1,554,231.00				
Net Surplus	\$	192,125.00	Meets Standard:			
Current Year Total Margin		11.0%				
Previous Year Current Margin		15.4%				
2014 Total Margin			X Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated three-year total margin greater than -1.5%, but the			
Three-Year Net Surplus	\$	413,519.00	trend is negative			
Three-Year Revenues	\$	3,185,387.00	Falls Far Below Standard: Current year total margin is less than -10%			
Aggregated Total Margin		13.0%				

Current Issue: Criteria is either not defined well enough or excessively defined resulting in the possibility of meeting two standards or not meeting any standard. The criteria for Does Not Meet Standards do not clearly specify which trend (aggregated or annual) is being used leaving it subject to interpretation. Furthermore, the criteria references the "aggregated three-year total margin" which schools in their first or second year would not have three years of data to compute.

**Solution:** Revise the criteria to a more simplistic language.

1.9%

-2.8%

-1.9%

-0.8%

(51,974.00)

Current Year Total Margin

Aggregated Total Margin

2014 Total Margin

Three-Year Net Surplus \$

Previous Year Current Margin

# **Total Margin – Revised Standards**

Meets Standard:

Aggregated three-year total margin is positive *and* the most recent year total margin is positive Does Not Meet Standard:

Aggregated three-year total margin is negative *or* the most recent year total margin is negative Falls Far Below Standard:

Aggregated three-year total margin is negative and the most recent year total margin is negative Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, substitute the "aggregated three-year total margin" with the "aggregated total margin."

# **Cash Flow – Current Standards**

Meets Standard:

- Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive and cash flow is positive each year. or
- □ Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive, cash flow is positive in two of the three years, *and* cash flow in the most recent year is positive

**Note:** For schools in their first or second year of operation, they must have positive cash flow. Does Not Meet Standard:

Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive, but the trend is negative.

Falls Far Below Standard:

□ Multi-year cumulative cash flow is negative

2016 Cash	\$	323,260.00		Meets Standard:	Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive and cash flow is		
2015 Cash	\$	252,881.00			positive each year		
2014 Cash	\$	96,544.00	Х	Meets Standard:	Multi-year cumulative is positive, cash flow is positive in two of		
Current Year Cash Flow	\$	70,379.00			the three years, and cash flow in the most recent year is positive		
Previous Year Cash Flow	\$	156,337.00	Х	Does Not Meet Standard:	Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive, but the trend is		
2014 Cash Flow	\$	(267,037.00)			negative		
Multi-Year Cash Flow	\$	226,716.00		Falls Far Below Standard:	Multi-year cumulative cash flow is negative		
2016 Cash	\$	514,620.00		Meets Standard:	Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive and cash flow is		
2015 Cash	\$	20,390.00			positive each year		
2014 Cash	\$	236,314.00		Meets Standard:	Multi-year cumulative is positive, cash flow is positive in two of		
Current Year Cash Flow	\$	494,230.00			the three years, and cash flow in the most recent year is positive		
Previous Year Cash Flow	\$	(215,924.00)		Does Not Meet Standard:	Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive, but the trend is		
2014 Cash Flow	\$	(369,976.00)			negative		
Multi-Year Cash Flow	\$	278,306.00		Falls Far Below Standard:	Multi-year cumulative cash flow is negative		

#### For Schools in Operation for More Than Two Years

#### For Schools in the First or Second Year of Operation

2016 Cash	\$	379,739.00	X Meets Standard: Must have positive cash flow	
2015 Cash	\$	225,506.00		
2014 Cash	\$	-	Meets Standard:	
Current Year Ca	ash Flow 💲	154,233.00		
Previous Year Ca	ash Flow 💲	225,506.00	X Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-year cumulative cash flow is p	ositive, but the trend is
2014 Ca	ash Flow 💲	-	negative	
Multi-Year Ca	ash Flow 💲	154,233.00	Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-year cumulative cash flow is n	egative

**Current Issue:** Criteria is either not defined well enough or excessively defined resulting in the possibility of meeting two standards or not meeting any standard. The criteria for Does Not Meet Standards do not clearly specify which trend (cumulative or annual) is being used leaving it subject to interpretation. The criteria reference the "multi-year cumulative cash flow" which schools in their first or year does not have multiple years of data to compute.

**Solution:** Revise the criteria to a more simplistic language.

### **Cash Flow – Revised Standards**

Meets Standard:

□ Multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive *and* the most recent year cash flow is positive Does Not Meet Standard:

☐ Multi-year cumulative cash flow is negative *or* the most recent year cash flow is negative Falls Far Below Standard:

□ Multi-year cumulative cash flow is negative *and* the most recent year cash flow is negative **Note:** For schools in their first or second year of operation, substitute "multi-year cumulative cash flow" with the "cumulative cash flow."